

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMESSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.tispto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/441,289	11/16/1999	ANDREW E. SUHY	1-21739	4128
10291 7	590 03/22/2002			
	HMAN & GRAUER	EXAMINER		
SUITE 140	WARD AVENUE		HEWITT II, CALVIN L	
BLOOMFIELI	HILLS, MI 48304-0	0610		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2161	
			DATE MAILED: 03/22/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

856

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	09/441,289	SUHY ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Calvin L Hewitt II	2161	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence addr	ress
THE REPLY FILED 13 March 2002 FAILS TO PLACE T Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appel Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this application (1) a timely filed amendment whi	cation. A proper rep ich places the applic	oly to a cation in
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adv event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).	visory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date on FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE	f the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. S	see MPEP
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The dathave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extens 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three more earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	sion and the corresponding amount of the d statutory period for reply originally set in	e fee. The appropriate extended the final Office action; or (ension fee under (2) as set forth in
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF			
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered b	ecause:		
(a) they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search ((see NOTE below);	
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note to	below);		
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by mat	erially reducing or s	implifying the
(d) they present additional claims without cancel NOTE:	ling a corresponding number of	finally rejected clain	ns.
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	tion(s):		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a s	separate, timely filed	i amendment
5. ☑ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☑ request fo application in condition for allowance because: Se	r reconsideration has been conse <u>e Continuation Sheet</u> .	sidered but does NC	OT place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaused by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which we	re newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we			and an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected:			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ disapp	proved by the Exam	iner.
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	·	
10. Other:		Hyung Sub S Primary Exa	
S. Patent and Trademark Office			

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-303 (Rev. 04-01)

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303) 09/441,289

Application No.



Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The Examiner has provided evidence supporting the Official Notice regarding warranty processing. The Examiner maintains that, in light of the prior art art, the Applicant's claimed invention would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill.